Preserve

App. F. IX-4

1932.
(Mozrai)

File No. 259 32

Serial Nos. 1

Previous References

Rev 9 271-9
agr 7 9 / 8
11.

Later References.

115, 13 & 221

3 3 3
In rr 35 of 1m
Fasli 1840.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

April 1935.

Part B for May 1933.

SUBJECT.

Appeal for the restoration of resumed inam lands of the Chairman of Krishnarao pet Bangalore Taluk

Messrs Appanna
and Ramaiya Reddi
Hudi village
Bangalore Ha.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No 1713 of 31-5-35

Statement that their request cannot be granted

10412 + 1028

Serial No.	
1	Muzrai Inam petition of 25/3/33 filed by Mr S. D. Ganesha Rao Advocate on behalf of his clients Appanna Reddi and Ramaiya Reddi of Hudi Village Krishnarajpet Hobli Bangalore Taluk praying for reasons set forth therein that the Inam lands enjoyed by the Chattram at Krishnarajpet Bangalore Taluk but later resumed may kindly be regranted to the Chattram for its support.
2	Petition of 25/3/33 from Mr Gajanan Madan Bhoosha and others of Krishnarajpet and the surrounding Villages Bangalore Taluk requesting that the Inam lands be restored to the Chattram referred to in the above petition. (1) The records of reference Collector, Kolar, may be called for. 8/4 To 10/4 Muz 179-21/1-4-29, L.R. 1-28-221 & LR 303-29 — A together with Govt Order No. R 5420-21/LR 310-31-7 of 20/4/33 since issued from the Revenue Secretariat for reference as Inam 25 of P. & W.I. The petitioners Appanna & [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 --GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 193 | General and Secretariat

and Ramanayya Reddi of Hudi Village Bangalore Tk pray that the petition be admitted, the order of resumption of the Dharmadayam lands endowed to this Swamiji from Challakam be revised & set aside, restoring the lands to the Challakam as before & the patta of lands made in the names of the petitioners - They further pray that a stay order be issued -

P. & D II is a petition largely signed by the residents of Krishnarajapuram & the surrounding Villages praying for restoring the Inam lands dept to Swamiji to the Challakam at Krishnarajapuram Bangalore Tk. In G.O No 1611-14 of 23/8/28, (as recommended by the M.C.) The lands endowed to the upkeep of the Challakam were ordered to be resumed & the Inam tenure Cancelled. The R.C. was registered to take steps for the Sale of the same. Against this order there was a review petition of 9/5/28 from Gunnaya Reddi & Appanna Reddi of Hudi Village. After hearing the orders were passed & the effect that there are no reasons to reconsider the orders previously passed in the subject matter. This Order of 1/7/28 - In fact the P & D Dept are for a reconsidering orders passed in July 28. As the subject matter has

W -

Serial No.

already been reviewed, whether there is any decision for a further consideration is for orders.

5) Action in the New Year is being taken with L.R. files pertain for disposing of the lands. Meaning while Rs 251 4 A 8 - The lands are ordered to be disposed of at Rs 5/- per acre - This sale that The realisations from the sales that have already taken place are to be credited to Chatram Savings Fund - As there are no instructions in the matter, if asked the M.C. will be requested to state if this has been done; Are: lands the sale of which has not been completed, if asked, the M.C.'s the R.C. will be requested to hold the sales in abeyance & Consider the question of making suitable grants in respect of the following

(i) Land grant to Sri Malleswarasamy Kanjima Swami [illegible] at Malleswarasamy per the report

(ii) The above question is [illegible] forwarded of lands as reported from time to time].

(ii) In place of Cash grant to Sri Anjaneya Swami for the at Malleswarasamy Some lands grant such of these Chatram lands -

(iii) In connection with the re-survey

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

of S. No 10 of Sri Guruvannadevara Pete Magadi Road Bangalore. Exchange of suitable lands has to be given - Whether the M.C. may not be asked to Resume & allot same of their Chalikaru lands for Sri Guruvannadevaru Pete. is a matter for consideration.

Returned with the papers

Submitted for orders

29/4 Read 1/5

The Inam lands referred to in the petns PWD I & PWD II were ordered to be resumed in March 1928 on the report & recommendation of the D.C. (Flag Q).

A petition was presented by Guruva Reddy & Appanna Reddy praying for a reconsideration of Order of resumption passed by Govt. This was heard on 7.7.28 and Order was passed stating that the order of resumption did not call for any modification. (Flag W)

From the recent order issued in the Rev. Dept on 20.4.33 re the disposal of lands, it is pretty clear that the petn of Sri Appanna Reddy was dismissed as he failed to avail himself of the offer of Govt to take the remaining extent at an upset price of Rs 5/- per acre, that he gave a petn on 17.2.32 praying for a revision

Serial No.

of the G.O. dt 17. 11. 31 ordering the resumption of the resumed Inam land under Dasabandha rules and that on this petn it has been ordered that Appannadora & Ramayya Reddy may be offered the lands available after giving away 62½ acres to Appajappa & others at Rs 5/- per acre. These very same two people happen to be petnrs now (Pltd I) It is evident that they are trying all means to keep the lands in their possession. Pltd It may not be wrong to infer, in these circs, that Pltd II (petn from the residents of Venkatanarasimharajupuram & surrounding villages) has been filed at the instance of these two people only.

10 As such the prayers of the petnrs do not seem to deserve any consideration.

If approved the Mg. will be addressed re the points raised at A in the office note overleaf.

4/5/33

II Member)

The petrs may be informed that their prayer cant be granted. The Mg is also may be drawn to the points at A but sale should not be suspended

Secretariat Council
A Branch
No. 7. B. S. S.

NOTE—Contin. Sheet.

Subd Cutch Dfs.

Inl 2/5/33

No 1713 of 31-5-1933 to messrs Appanna Reddi & Ramappa Reddi of Hudi Village Bangalore Taluk declining the grant of their request for the restoration of Chathram Inam lands of Krishnarajapura —

No 1714 of 31-5-1933 to the Revenue Commissioner forwarding for information copy of the above endorsement.

Memo No 1715 of 31/5/33 to Mr S. D Ganesha Rao Advocate for the petitioners

No 1716 of 31/5/33 to the Muzrai Commissioner forwarding copy of endorsement referred to above with a request to take action on the lines indicated therein and submit proposals —

No 1717 of 31/5/33 to messrs Master Basavaya & others of Krishnarajapuram declining the grant of their request

[illegible]

Inl 13/6

Serial No.

To the, bill be taken up on receipt of
[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 –GPB—15,000—22-8-32

File No. of 193 .

General
Secr



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

MUZRAI PETITION NO. OF 1931-32.

Mus 259-32-1

PETITIONERS:- 1. Appana Reddy son of Basava Reddy
Agriculturist, Hoody Village,
Krishnarajapur Hobli, Bangalore
Taluk.

2. Ramayya Reddy son of Dulu Reddy,
Agriculturist, Hoody Village,
Krishnarajapur Hobli, Bangalore
Taluk.

In the matter of the Dharamadaya Inam lands of Basavanapura village, Krishnarajapur Hobli, Bangalore Taluk, Ordered to be resumed by Government, followed by subsequent orders directing the sale of some of the plots to Appajappa and 13 others of Basavanapura village at Rs5/- per acre, and the rest to be disposed of under the Dharakhast Rules, etc.

REFERENCES:- 1. Government order No. 1611-4/Muz. 179-27-4 dated the 23rd. March 1928.

2. Government order No. 118-Muz. 179-27-9 dated the 17th July 1928.

REFERENCES:-

3. Government order No. R.2207-8/L.R. 1-28-221 dated the 13th Spt 1929, sanctioning the recommendation made by the Revenue Commissioner for the sale of some of the said lands, to Appajappa and others at Rs 5/-per acre.

4. Letter No.C.2339/29-30 dated the 16th Oct 1931 from the Revenue Commissioner to the Government stating that the petitioners are not agreeable to take the remaining lands at Rs 5/- per acre.

5. Government Order No.R.2147-48/L.R. 303-29-8 dated the 14th/17th Nov 1931.

The petitioners above named beg to submit as follows:-

1. The 1st. petitioner's father Basava Reddy and Hanuma Reddy , the paternal Grand-father of the 2nd. petitioner, were brothers . Hanuma Reddy was the managing member of this family when it lived jointly.

2. The ancestors of the two brothers Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao built a Chattram at Krishnarajapur, Bangalore Taluk, and constructed a well therein for the benefit of travellers. They also planted some Avenue trees on the road (Old Madras Road) adjoining this Chattram to afford shade during the summer season to the wearied travellers passing by that road.

3. The Governemnt in recognition of this public Cnarity and for the maintenance of the same, endowed to the said Chattram some dry lands in the limits of Basavana pur village, Krishnarajapur Hobli, Bangalore Taluk, consisting of Maji Survey Nos, 1-2-9-11-12 and 20 with a total acreage of 112 acres - 36 guntas, assessed at Rs117-22-0, and registered the same as Dharmadaya Inam lands in the Government Records.

4. The ancestors of Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao, besides the Dharmadaya lands, referred to above, also owned some wet lands at the said Basavanapura, which formed their separate and independent property, and they had also consturcted there a tank at their own cost. All these properties were in their possession and enjoyment.

5. The ancestors of Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao were subsequently found to be in the enjoymnet of six other plots of Government dry land at the said village though they had not been included in the Dharmadaya Inam lands granted to them. They consisted of Maji survey Nos. 10-13-15-17- 18 and 19 with a total acreage of 134 acres and 10 guntas, assessed at Rs 146/- The Government thereupon directed the said persons to the effect that the said lands not included in the Inam, might be enjoyed by them as per rules relating to "Kalsath" by paying the additional quit rent etc due thereon.

6 The two brothers Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao did not agree to the concession thus extended to them by the Government and gave up the possession of the

said six plots of dry land. They were therafter lying fallow for some years.

7. Basavanapura became a Becherak village some time before the Great Famine. The said Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao could not bring the said Dharmadya lands and their own private wet lands under proper cultivation. They therefore, under an agreement entered into with the petitioners' ancestor Hanuma Reddy, made over to the latter the possession of all these properties about 25 years prior to 1891, with the stipulation that the said Hanuma Reddy should maintain the Chattram at Krishnarajapur in a good state of repair and in return enjoy the usufruct of their inam lands and private lands by paying the quit rent and other dues payable to Government.

8. This arrangement continued till the year 1891, and in that year the said Katta Srinivasa Rao and Kristna Rao mortgaged these lands to the said Hanuma Reddy and executed a registered mortgage deed in his favour on the 5th February 1891 for a cash consideration of Rs 571 with the stipulation that their Chattram at Krishnarajapur should be satisfactorily maintained by the mortgagee and his successors.

9. Hanuma Reddy, the managing member of the petitioners' family, in the meantime presented a Dharkhast for the lands referred to above, which were lying fallow, Viz., Maji Survey Nos. 10-13.-15-17-18 and 19 and obtained them. He also got the patta of these lands made out in his name and

and was enjoying them in his own right from the year Sarvajithu (1887-88) till the year Jaya (1894-95) by regularly paying the land revenue due thereon to the Government.

10. The said Katta Srivinasa Rao and Kristna Rao effected a sale of the said Dharamadaya lands and also their private lands at Basavanapura together with the tank they had constructed there, to Veerappa Reddy, the undivided eldest son of Hanuma Reddyfor Rs 2000/- on the 15th August 1895 and excuted a registered sale deed in favour of the said Veerappa Reddy with the condition that their Chattram at Krishnarajapur should be well and properly maintained so that the Charity established by their ancestors may be continued in perpetuity.

11. Just about that time the Government had been pleased to pass proceedings in respect of lands enjoyed by Inmadars under "Kalasath" rules. The said Hanuma Reddy, with a view to avail himself of the concession thus extended to Inamdars as per Government proceedings referred to herein, consented to make over to the said Chattram Jodi Inam the lands he had obtained on dharkhast at Basavamapura Viz., Maji Nos. 10-13-15-17-18 and 19 and surrendered them accordingly. An authenticated copy of the statement given by HanumaReddy, in this connection on 28-8-1894 before the Amildar, Bangalore Taluk, is appended here to for kind perusal and verification. The said plots were thereafter added on to the Krishnajapur Chattram Jodi lands and all

all these lands were in the possession and enjoyment of Hanuma Reddy's family members ever since that time

12. The members of Hanuma Reddy's family were thus in enjoyment of the said Dharamadaya Inam lands and other lands at Basavanapura village for about sixty five (65) years, paying the quit rent due thereon to Government . Until the family became divided in the year 1902, the Chattram at Krishnarajapur was properly maintained and the necessary repairs required for this structure were punctually attended to.

13. At the time of the Inam Settlement in the State, the Superintendent of ~~Survey~~ Survey and Inam settlement with the full knowledge of all these facts, recognised this Dharmadaya Inam though it had changed hands, and confirmed the same and granted a Sannad therefor, which is dated the 7th February 1897.

14. At the division of Hanuma Redd's family properties among the family members, half of these Inam lands fell to the share of the 1st. petitioner and the other half was made over to the share of the 2nd. petitioner's father Dulu Reddy. On account of internal troubles among the members of the petitioners family, they were unable to attend promptly to some repairs reqired for the Chattram in spite of the notices issued to them by the Taluk Amildar.

15. Thereupon the 1st. petitioner and his cousin Dulu Reddy, the father of the 2nd. petitioner received a notice from the Muzrai Commissioner, directing them to appear before him on the 16th December 1927 and

and state their objections against the proposed resumption of the Basavanapura Dharamadaya lands for violating the conditions attached to them.

16. The 1st. petitioner and his cousin Dulu Reddy, in accordance with the notices served on them, appeared before the Muzrai Commissioner on the 16th December 1927 and submitted that the delay in getting the repairs done to the Chattram was due to the differences existing among the sharers, that they had however come to an understanding as to their mutual responsibilities, that they had also collected the materials required for effecting the repairs and prayed that a months time may be kindly granted to them to complete the entire repairs required for the Chattram.

17. The 1st. petitioner and his cousin Dulu Reddy, to their utter surprise and alarm, received from the Government an order dated the 23rd. March 1928, informing them that the Dharmadaya Inam Lands in question had been ordered to be resumed, although the repairs to the Chattram had been completed by them. The then Taluk Amildar also personally knew this fact.

18. The 1st. petitioner and his ~~xxxxxx~~ cousin Dulu Reddy thereupon submitted a petition to the Government on the 9th May 1928 praying that the order passed by the Government directing the resumption of the Inam lands may be kindly revised and set aside for the reasons set out in their petition, and since they had also carried out the complete repairs to the Chattram at a heavy cost.

19. The said petition came on for hearing on the 26th

26th May 1928 and was adjourned to the 7th July 1928, and on that day it was heard. The 1st petitioner and his cousin Dulu Reddy subsequently received from the Government the order dated the 17th July 1928 informing them that there were no grounds to modify the order already passed by ~~them~~ Government.

20. When the petitioners were thus faced with insurmountable difficulties by the ~~Government~~ summary orders passed by the Government in respect of the Inam lands in question, which were in the possession of themselves and their ancestors for more than sixty years, and over which they had spent thousands of rupees for improving them, some of the Roman Catholic convert Christian ryots, Vix., Appajappa and 13 others, who were the wara and gutta ~~kamatdars~~ tenants under the petitioners and their elders, taking advantage of the petitioners helpless position, and being backed up by their padre and others, presented a petition to the Government, alleging that they were Khadeem tenants under the petitioners and their eleders in respect of some of the Survey numbers pertaining to the said Inam Lands, and praying that the said plots of land should be confirmed to them and that the order passed against the petitioners should not operate against them .

21. The petitioners submit that only half the extent of these Inam lands is fit for cultivation and the other ~~half~~ half consists of hollows, rocks and boulders and wholly unfit for cultivation. This portion was being used by the petitioners and their elders as grazing ground for their cattle. More than half of the lands fit for cultivation had been leased to the said Appajappa ~~and~~ and others, the

rest being cultivated by the petitioners themselves.

22. The Government, on the recommendarion of the then Revenue Commissioner, ordered on the application of the said appajappa and others, that they need not be evicted from the lands under their cultivation and that they may be confirmed to them at Rs 5/- per acre besides the value of the "Malki" (Vide Government Order No. R. 2207-8/ L.R. 1-28-221 dated the 13th September 1929.)

23. This was an ex parte order and the petitioners had no notice of the ap lication presented by the said Appajappa and others, nor were they given the option of continuing in the possession of these lands on the same terms and conditions on which the Government proposed to grant them to the said Appajappa and others.

24. When the petitioners came to know of this, they applied for copies of the petition presented by Appajappa and others and the order passed thereon, and appealed thereon. This case is pending before the Second Member of Council.

25. The petitoners further submit that the fact of the Chattram being completely repaired and renovated by them at a heavy cost may be also kindly considered in giving sympathetic attention to the petitioners. prayer.

26. The Bangalore Taluk Amildar also inspected the Chattram on or about the 18th March 1931. as per orders of the Government and reported in April 1931 that repairs to the estimate of about Rs 2500/- had

had been effected by the petitioners to the Chatram The petitioners submit that they have incurred more than the estimated by the Taluk Amildar, to complete the said repairs.

27. The petitioners further submit that they have been in possession and enjoyment of all thess lands till this date dnd have regularly paid to Government all the demands due thereon. The Catholic Christian ryots Appajappa and others, have been also cultivating the lands leased to them by the petitioners' elders on the same terms and conditions orgginally agreed to between the parties.

28. Since the petitioners and their ancestors have been in possession of hese Inam lands for the last sixty -five years, since they have improved them by expending large sums of money and since they have also fully repaired and renovated the Chattram at a heavy cost with the full belief that the Inam lands would be continued to them, the Government would be only showing them very little concession, if the lands are restored to them as Inam lands for the benefit of the Chatram

29. The petitioners submit that they will be completely ruined if they are deprived of these lands or any portion of them, in spite of their willingness to keep the Chattram in good condition

30. The Petitioners urged before the Second Member of Council the disastersus consequences of withdrawing all the Inam Lands from the Chatteram, and prayed that the question of wanting them once again to the Chatteram may be graciously reconsidered.

31. The protestive ryots Appajappa and others also stated to that hon'ble Court that they would be content to live merely as tenants as heretofore if the Government would be pleased to restore the inam lands.

32. In this view of the case the learned second member observed that the question relative to the restoration of the inam lands was within the jurisdiction of the Hon'ble member in charge of the Muzrai portfolio and that an application had to be presented to this Hon'ble Court. Pending consideration of this question in this Hon'ble Court the learned second member was pleased to grant an adjournment till 28.3.33.

33. If this Hon'ble Court would be pleased to reconsider with favour the prayer for restoration of the inamathi it will further be the end of justice and of the chatteram. And even the protestive ryots will be appeased and there will be no grievance for any body.

34. The matter is one in which the benign Government may be pleased to take liberal and generous view of the entire case and that to grant relief to the petitioners and enable them to perpetuate a charity that their ancestors started.

35. The petitioners therefore respectfully pray:-

(a) That this petition may be admitted and all the connected records from the beginning may be kindly sent for and perused.

(b) That the Petitioner's Advocate may be kindly heard on the merits of this petition.

(c) That the order passed by Government ordering resumption of the lands in question, kindly revised and set aside.

(d) That the entire extent of the Inam lands at Basavanapura may be restored to the Chattram for its benefit.

(e) That the patta of the lands in question may be ordered to be made out in the names of the petitioners.

(f) That an ad interim stay ~~order~~ order be granted pending disposal of this application.

S D Ramesha Rao
Advocate for Petrs

Bangalore.
25th March 1933.

PETITIONERS.



Before the Govt of ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.
His Hyness the
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು. Maharaja of
My Sore

1932—1933 ನೇ Mysore ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ Appanna Reddi
Ramiah Reddi } Vs. { ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ, ಕಬ್ಬನ್‌ಪೇಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಮು|| ರಾ|| ಯಸ್. ಡಿ. ಗಣೇಶರಾವ್ ಬಿ.ಎ, ಬಿ.ಎಲ್., **ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ**

Petitioners ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಜ್ಞೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿ ಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹ್ಣಣ ವಸೂಲು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹ ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರ ಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೂಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು,
ತಾರೀಖು 25/3/33 } ರುಜು

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾನೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತ ರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರ.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನ.
S D Ganesha Rao

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟರು.





TO

AMIN-UL-MULK,

SIR MIRZA M. ISMAIL, Kt., O.B.E., C.I.E.,

DEWAN OF MYSORE,

BANGALORE CITY.

Respected Sir,

We the undersigned residents of Krishnarajapuram and the surrounding villages beg to l a y before you the following few lines for your kind consideration and benevolent decision.

2. A Dharma-Chattram was constructed many years ago in front of krishnarajapuram. by the side of the Old Madras Road for the benefit of travellers, by the ancestors of Katte Srinivasa Rao and Katte Krishna Rao who lived in Bangalore City. The Government of Mysore had endowed some Inam lands at Basavanapura village closeby for the upkeep and maintenance of this Chattram.

3. During former days when there was no Railway communication, this building served as a halting place for hundreds of travellers who were journeying to distant places on foot and in country carts.

4. This Chattram and the Inam lands attached to it were sold by the then owners to the family - members of one Hanuma Reddy of Hudi Village, and they were enjoying these lands for generations, and keeping the said Chattram in a good state of repair.

5. We have come to know that the Government of Mysore have since resumed the said Inam lands and permitted the members of Hanuma Reddy's family to treat this chattram as private property and to dispose of the same if they so desire.

6. This Chattram is being used till this day as a resting place by many travellers and hundreds of people who attend the weekly shandy that is held here. The people of Krishnarajapuram including many of ourselves and also the inabitants of the surrounding villages have been using this place freely for celebrating marriages and other functions.

7. If this Chattram become the private property of any one person, the benefit hitherto derived from this by ourselves and the general public will be completely lost and we will be greatly handicapped.

We therefore respectfully and humbly pray that you may be graciously pleased to call for t h e records bearing on this subject and pass necessary orders to restore this Chattram and the Inam lands to their original position, and direct them to be treated as a public Institution as heretofore.

We beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servants,

Krishnarajapuram,
25th March 1933.,







Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

24

---

dt:-

Government Order No.F.2147-42/1..303-2/-3 dated 17th November 1931, directing the disposal under darkhast rules of the remaining extent of lands after the grant of some portion to applicants and others of Government Villages, Krishnarajapet Taluk out of the resumed Dharmadaya lands endowed to the Chattram at Krishnarajapet of the same taluk and dismissing the petition of Appanna Reddy and Ramayya Reddy (present petitioners) as they did not avail themselves of the offer of the remaining area at an upset price of Rs.5/- per acre together with the value of the Malai Thoppu.

Petition dated 17th February 1932, from Appanna Reddy and Ramayya Reddy praying for a revision of the --- Government Order dated 7th November 1931 and to give them for the grant of the entire extent of the Government Villages an upset price of Rs.5/- per acre.

Correspondence ending with the letter No. dated 27-3-1934, from the Deputy Commissioner, District, on the above subject.

.R.5420-21/2.R 310-31-7

Bangalore, 1st / 20th

The Government have already fixed the [illegible] other tenants, the lands which they are [illegible]

34

lands formerly endowed to the Krishnarajapuram Chattram are being credited ~~to Rs~~ and Mahas regards the Krishnarajapuram Chattram lands the sales of which have not been completed, ~~The Muzrai Commissioner is requested to~~ he may consider the question of making suitable grants from ~~amount~~ out of these lands in respect of the following institutions without suspending sales already ordered and submit proposals at an early date :-

(i) Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple, Malleswaram, Bangalore City.

(ii) Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Malleswaram in place of the cash grant;

(iii) Suitable Land grant to Sri Guruvanna Devaru Mutt, Magadi Road, Bangalore City in lieu of S.No.10 of Hanumanthapur Village ordered to be resumed.

By Order,

27/5

For Financial Secretary to Government.

9-5

K.M.R.3/17-5.

Muz. 55]

No 1713/Muz 259-32-3

WD 0617 - GPB - 750 - 10 8-22

GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muzrai Secretariat.

( )

Office Memorandum.

To

the 25th May xxxx 1933.

Messrs. Appanna Reddi,
S/O Basava Reddi,
and
Ramaiah Reddi,
son of Dulu Reddi, Hoodi Village, Krishnarajapuram
Hobli, Bangalore Taluk.

With reference to petition dated 25th March 1933, filed by Mr. S.D. Ganesh Rao, Advocate on their behalf, praying that orders relating to the resumption of Dharmadaya lands endowed to the Krishnarajapur Chattram, Bangalore Talu may be revised and set aside and the patta of the lands referred to therein made in their names. Messrs. Ramaiah Reddi and Appanna Reddi are informed that their prayer cannot be granted.

By Order,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,
Financial Secretary to Government.

Memo No. 1716 Dated, Bangalore, the 17th May 193

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore, for information. ~~The Muzrai Commissioner is~~ with ~~to~~ me requested ~~to state~~ he may kindly the head to which

[Muz. 55]

No 1713 / Muz 258-32-3

WD 0617 - GPB - 750 - 10-8-22

GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muzrai Secretariat.

( )

Office Memorandum.

To

the 30th May 1933~~1932~~

31st

Messrs. Appanna Reddi,
S/o Basava Reddi,
and
Ramaiah Reddi,
son of Dulu Reddi, Hoodi Village, Krishnarajapuram Hobli, Bangalore Taluk.

With reference to petition dated 25th March 1933, filed by Mr. S.D. Ganesh Rao, Advocate, on their behalf, praying that orders relating to the resumption of Dharmadaya lands endowed to the Krishnarajapur Chattram, Bangalore Taluk, may be revised and set aside and the patta of the lands referred to therein made in their names, Messrs. Ramaiah Reddi and Appanna Reddi are informed that their prayer cannot be granted.

By Order,

for Financial Secretary to Government.

S.S

head to whi

Re amended to
Vote on Suspending Rule
already

Y or N

14/3

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Salaries which
Completed, to
is requested be sent
The question of grant
Suitable grants
in respect of the
Institutions without responding already
Sub- proposals at
an early date.

(1). ~~Land grant~~
~~Sri Malleshwara~~
Swami Temple - M
Bangalore City -

(ii) ~~No question of~~
~~making suitable~~
~~land grant to~~
Sri Anjaneya Swami
Temple at
in place of the
Cash grant.

(i) Suitable Land grant to
Sri Gavi, in
Mail in ~~Blore~~ of
S. No 10 of Hanumanatha
Village ~~This about~~ orders be issued

Rs 0.
15/5/33
for H L

Reply to in m.c's
letter no 1037 of
2233

WD 2280—GPB—1,00,000—23 7-20

10/5/33.

neao to whi

29

made in their names, Messrs
Ramaiah Reddi & Appanna Reddi
are informed that their prayer
cannot be acceded.

B. O

1715
For Hon'ble

No. 1715 - dt 31-5-33

Copy to Mr S. D. Ganesh Rao
Advocate for the petitioners -

fair copy
sent as
it was signed.

B. O

For Hon'ble

C.S
11/5

Copy of the foregoing
is forwarded with compliments
for information is invited to
to the (1) M.C/ Both Rc
(2) Rc
No R 5420-21/dt R 310-31-7
& 2014/33 - The M. C. is
requested to state the head
to which the realisations from
the Sales of resumed lands formerly
endowed to the Krishnarajpur Chatram
are being credited

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mem

File No. 258/32

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1713

To

Messrs Appanna Reddi
S/o Basava Reddi

Ramaih Reddi
son of
Dulu Reddi
Aroori Village
Krishnarajpura Hobli
Bangalore Tk

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 31-5-33

Signed by Mr S. Muddukrishnappa

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Ir the reqt in transfer of 25/2/33 filed by the L. W. Ganesh Rao Advocate on their behalf praying that orders relating to the resumption of Dharmadaya land granted to the Krishnarajpura Chathra Mgr Bangalore Tk be revised & Sec 4 the patta of lands refd to therein

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

lands formerly endowed to the Krishnarajapuram Chattram are being credited. As regards the Krishnarajapuram Chattram lands the sales of which have not been completed, the Muzrai Commissioner is requested to consider the question of making suitable grants from ~~amount~~ out of these lands in respect of the following institutions without ~~s~~suspending sales already ordered and submit proposals at an early date :-

(i) Sri Mallikarjunaswami Temple, Malleswaram, Bangalore City.

(ii) Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Malleswaram in place of the cash grant;

(iii) Suitable Land grant to Sri Guruvanna Devaru Mutt, Magadi Road, Bangalore City in lieu of S.No.10 of Hanumanthapur Village ordered to be resumed.

By Order,

27/5

For Financial Secretary to Government.

K.M.R.3/17-5.

Muz. 55]

No 1713/Mz 259-32-3.

WD 0617-GPB-750--10 8-22

GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muzrai Secretariat.

( )

Office Memorandum.

To

the 25th May 1933.

Messrs. Appanna Reddi, 31.87
S/O Basava Reddi,
and
Ramaiah Reddi,
son of Dulu Reddi, Hoodi Village, Krishnarajapuram
Hobli, Bangalore Taluk.

With reference to petition dated 25th March 1933, filed by Mr. S.D. Ganesh Rao, Advocate, on their behalf, praying that orders relating to the resumption of Dharmadaya lands endowed to the Krishnarajapur Chattram, Bangalore Talu. may be revised and set aside and the patta of the lands referred to therein made in their names, Messrs. Ramaiah Reddi and Appanna Reddi are informed that their prayer canno be granted.

By Order,

Sd/- S. Shamanna,
Financial Secretary to Government.

Memo No. 1714 Dated, Bangalore, the 17th May 19 31.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with compliments, to th Revenue Commissioner. in Mysore, Bangalore, for information. The Muzrai Commissioner is requested to state the head to which the realisations from the sales of resumed

cultivating on payment of an upset price of Rs.5/- per It is reported that this amount has been deposited by them the lands not exceeding 62 1/2 acres in the aggregate may be marked out and given to them in accordance with actual ...ge ... mentioned in the statement taken before the ...ilsildar on 16-4-1932. The assessment due should also be recovered from the date that the inam lands have been resumed.

The petitioners Appanna Reddy and Ramayya Reddy may be offered the rest of the lands available at Rs.5/- per acre. Care should be taken to see that lands if any which are set apart for the communal purposes of the Village are not given out.

Yours

For Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, for information and needful action together with the records received with his letter No. .3245/31-32 dated 5-12-1932.

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District for information

R.O.R.7/15-4.

The Muzrai Branch.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

General and Revenue Secretariat, 35

No. 1717 *Dated, Bangalore*..... 30th May 1933.

Mus 259-32-4 31

ENDORSEMENT.

Yejaman Muddu Basappa and others,
Krishnarajpuram,
Bangalore Taluk.

With reference to their pe ition dated 25th March 1933 re. the restoration etc., of the inam lands to the - - Chattram at Krishnarajpuram, Bangalore Taluk, Mr. Muddu Basappa and others are informed that their request cannot be granted.

By Order,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

TRII.2 9.5

F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

2 Chits

Section Mem 3

File No. 259-32

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to .....1122.....

No. 1717

To The Chairman Mudoor Basappa & others
Krishnarajpuram
B'Core Dt.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 31-5-33

Signed by Mr B. Mudalakrishnappa

Write office to their Pet^n dt 25/8/33 ~~praying for the~~ regarding restoration of the Inam lands of the Chairman & Krishnarajpuram B'Core Dt ~~to the Inam lands & their original position & for the issue of orders to treat it as a public institn~~, Mr Mudoor Basappa & others are informed that their requests cannot be granted

B-O

for S[illegible]
2715

List of enclosures.....

Copied by..... 30/5/33

Examined by .....

GS
11/5